The Generalized Dark Radiation and Accelerated Expansion in Brane Cosmology

Pantelis S. Apostolopoulos[1,2] and Nikolaos Tetradis[2]

[1] *Departament de Fisica, Universitat de les Illes Balears, Cra. Valldemossa Km 7.5, E-07122 Palma de Mallorca, Spain*

[2] *University of Athens, Department of Physics, Nuclear and Particle Physics Section, Panepistimiopolis, Zografos 15771, Athens, Greece.*

Abstract

The effective Friedmann equation describing the evolution of the brane Universe in the cosmology of the Randall-Sundrum model includes a dark (or mirage or Weyl) radiation term. The brane evolution can be interpreted as the motion of the brane in an AdS-Schwarzschild bulk geometry. The energy density of the dark radiation is proportional to the black hole mass. We generalize this result for an AdS bulk space with an arbitrary matter component. We show that the mirage term retains its form, but the black hole mass is replaced by the covariantly defined integrated mass of the bulk matter. As this mass depends explicitly on the scale factor on the brane, the mirage term does not scale as pure radiation. For low energy densities the brane cosmological evolution is that of a four-dimensional Universe with two matter components: the matter localized on the brane and the mirage matter. There is conservation of energy between the two components. This behaviour indicates a duality between the bulk theory and a four-dimensional theory on the brane. The equation of state of the generalized dark radiation is that of a conformal field theory, with an explicit breaking of the conformal invariance through the pressure of the bulk fluid. Accelerated expansion on the brane is possible only if there is negative pressure on the brane or in the bulk, or if the integrated mass of the bulk fluid is negative.

PACS: 98.80.-k, 98.80.Cq, 11.25.-w, 11.27.+d
Keywords: Brane world cosmology

1 The generalized dark radiation

In the context of the Randall-Sundrum model [1], the Universe is identified with a four-dimensional hypersurface (a 3-brane) in a five-dimensional bulk with negative cosmological constant (AdS space). The pertinent action is

$$S = \int d^5x\sqrt{-g}\left(\Lambda + M^3 R + \mathcal{L}_B\right) + \int d^4x\sqrt{-\hat{g}}\,\left(-V + \mathcal{L}_b\right), \tag{1}$$

where R is the curvature scalar of the five-dimensional bulk metric g_{AB}, $-\Lambda$ the bulk cosmological constant ($\Lambda > 0$), V the brane tension, and $\hat{g}_{\alpha\beta}$ the induced metric on the brane. (We neglect higher curvature invariants in the bulk and induced gravity terms on the brane.) The Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}_B$ describes the matter content (particles or fields) of the bulk, while the density $\mathcal{L}_b$ describes matter localized on the brane.

The geometry is non-trivial (warped) along the fourth spatial dimension, so that an effective localization of low-energy gravity takes place near the brane. (No such localization takes place for the bulk matter.) For low matter densities on the brane and a pure AdS bulk (no bulk matter), the cosmological evolution as seen by a brane observer reduces to the standard Friedmann-Robertson-Walker cosmology [2]. We parametrize the metric as

$$ds^2 = -m^2(\tau,\eta)d\tau^2 + a^2(\tau,\eta)d\Omega_k^2 + d\eta^2, \tag{2}$$

with $m(\tau,\eta=0)=1$. The brane is located at $\eta=0$, while we identify the half-space $\eta>0$ with the half-space $\eta<0$. The effective Friedmann equation at the location of the brane is

$$H^2 = \left(\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{6M_{\rm Pl}^2}\left[\tilde{\rho}\left(1+\frac{\tilde{\rho}}{2V}\right)+\rho_d\right] - \frac{k}{R^2} + \lambda, \tag{3}$$

with $R(\tau) = a(\tau,\eta=0)$ and $M_{\rm Pl}^2 = 12M^6/V$. The energy density $\tilde{\rho}$ corresponds to matter localized on the brane, and arises through the parametrization of the corresponding energy-momentum tensor as $\tilde{T}^A_{\ B} = \delta(\eta){\rm diag}(-\tilde{\rho},\tilde{p},\tilde{p},\tilde{p},0)$. The contribution $\sim\tilde{\rho}^2$ is typical of brane cosmologies and becomes negligible for $\tilde{\rho}\ll V$. The curvature term ($k=0,\pm1$) depends on the geometry of the maximally symmetric space with constant τ and η. The effective cosmological constant $\lambda = (V^2/12M^3-\Lambda)/12M^3$ can be set to zero through an appropriate fine-tuning of V and Λ. The conservation equation for the brane energy density is

$$\dot{\tilde{\rho}} + 3H(\tilde{\rho}+\tilde{p}) = 0. \tag{4}$$

The energy density $\rho_d = (12M^3/\pi^2V)(\mathcal{M}/R^4)$ depends on an arbitrary constant $\mathcal{M}$ and scales as conserved pure radiation. It is characterized as dark, or mirage, or Weyl radiation [2, 4, 5]. Its true nature becomes apparent in a Schwarzschild system of coordinates, in which the metric is written as [3]

$$ds^2 = -n^2(t,r)dt^2 + r^2d\Omega_k^2 + b^2(t,r)dr^2. \tag{5}$$

In this coordinate system, the brane evolution described above corresponds to brane motion within a bulk with an AdS-Schwarzschild geometry, specified by the choice: $n^2 = 1/b^2 = \Lambda r^2/(12M^3) + k - \mathcal{M}/(6\pi^2M^3r^2)$. The constant $\mathcal{M}$ is identified with the mass of a black hole located at $r=0$. It can also be related to the value of the bulk Weyl tensor at the location of the brane $r=R(\tau)$ (see below).

This picture can be generalized for an arbitrary bulk energy-momentum tensor $T^A_{\ B}$ using a covariant formalism [6]. The details of the calculation are given in ref. [7]. The brane can be identified with a 4D hypersurface, whose spatial part (denoted by $\mathcal{D}$) is invariant under a six-dimensional group of isometries. The spatial curvature is determined by the value of k. The assumption of maximal symmetry of the spatial part, which is essential for our results, implies the existence of a preferred spacelike direction e^A, that represents the local axis of symmetry with respect to which all the geometrical, kinematical and dynamical quantities are invariant.

The preferred spatial direction can be chosen in various ways. For example, in the Gauss normal coordinate system (2) it is convenient to choose the preferred axis of symmetry $\sim \partial_\eta$, while in the Schwarzschild system (5) the convenient choice is $\sim \partial_r$. We consider an observer with a 5-velocity $\tilde{u}^A$ comoving with the brane. The spacelike unit vector field n^A is taken perpendicular to the brane trajectory ($n_A \tilde{u}^A = 0$). We also consider a bulk observer with a 5-velocity u^A perpendicular to the preferred direction ($e_A u^A = 0$).

Each spatial slice $\mathcal{D}$ is covariantly characterized by an average length scale function ℓ. The derivative of ℓ along the preferred direction (denoted by a prime) can be determined from the relation $D_A e^A \equiv 3\ell'/\ell$, where D_A is the fully projected, perpendicular to u^A, covariant derivative [7]. In the Schwarzschild system (5), we have $\ell = r$, while in the Gauss-normal system (2), $\ell = a(\tau, \eta)$. At the location of the brane, $\ell = R(\tau)$. The time evolution on the brane can be described in terms of the Hubble parameter, defined as $3H = \tilde{u}^\alpha{}_{;\alpha}$. It can be shown [7] that

$$H^2 = \left(\frac{\dot{\ell}}{\ell}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{6M_{\rm Pl}^2}\tilde{\rho}\left(1 + \frac{\tilde{\rho}}{2V}\right) + \frac{1}{6\pi^2 M^3}\frac{\mathcal{M}(\ell,\tau)}{\ell^4} - \frac{k}{\ell^2} + \lambda, \tag{6}$$

where the dot indicates a derivative with respect to the proper time τ on the brane: $\dot{\ell} = \ell_{;\alpha}\tilde{u}^\alpha$.

The quantity $\mathcal{M}(\ell, \tau)$ is defined through the relation

$$\mathcal{M} = \int_{\ell_0}^{\ell} 2\pi^2 \rho \ell^3 d\ell + \mathcal{M}_0, \tag{7}$$

where $\rho \equiv T_{AB} u^A u^B$ is the bulk energy density as measured by the bulk observer. We can interpret $\mathcal{M}(\ell, \tau)$ as the generalized comoving mass of the bulk fluid within a spherical shell with radii ℓ_0 and ℓ. This interpretation is strictly correct only for $k = 1$. However, we shall refer to $\mathcal{M}$ as the integrated mass for all geometries of the spatial slices $\mathcal{D}$. The quantity $\bar{p} \equiv T_{AB} n^A n^B$ appearing in eq. (23) is the bulk pressure in the direction perpendicular to the brane, as measured by a brane observer. The dependence on the total integrated mass, irrespectively of the specific radial dependence of the energy density, is reminiscent of the implications of Birkhoff's theorem for the gravitational field generated by a matter distribution. Both results are consequences of the assumed rotational symmetry of the geometry, which is inherited by the matter distribution. If we employ the Schwarzschild system (5), we can set $\ell_0 = r_0 = 0$. Then, the integration constant $\mathcal{M}_0$ in eq. (7) can be interpreted as the mass of a black hole at $r = 0$.

A more intuitive physical interpretation of the contribution $\sim \mathcal{M}$ in eq. (6) can be given for a perfect bulk fluid. In this case $\mathcal{M}/(6\pi^2 M^3 \ell^4) = \rho/(12M^3) - \mathcal{E}/3$, where $\mathcal{E} \equiv C_{ACBD}\tilde{u}^A n^C \tilde{u}^B n^D$ is a scalar formed out of the bulk Weyl tensor [7]. Both ρ and $\mathcal{E}$ are evaluated at the location of the brane. It is clear that the bulk affects the brane evolution through its energy density in the vicinity of the brane. The contribution ρ is related to the bulk matter, while $\mathcal{E}$ can be loosely interpreted as accounting for the effect of the local gravitational field. For $\rho = 0$ (AdS-Schwarzschild bulk) the whole effect arises through the gravitational field. This justifies the use of the term Weyl radiation for the contribution in the effective Friedmann equation.

We return to the general case of an arbitrary bulk energy-momentum tensor (general fluid). The sense in which eqs. (6), (23) generalize eqs. (3), (4) becomes apparent if we rewrite them as

$$H^2 = \left(\frac{\dot{\ell}}{\ell}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{6M_{\rm Pl}^2}\left[\tilde{\rho}\left(1 + \frac{\tilde{\rho}}{2V}\right) + \rho_D\right] - \frac{k}{\ell^2} + \lambda, \tag{8}$$

$$\left[\dot{\tilde{\rho}} + 3H(\tilde{\rho} + \tilde{p})\right]\left(1 + \frac{\tilde{\rho}}{V}\right) = -\left[\dot{\rho}_D + 3H(\rho_D + p_D)\right]. \tag{9}$$

(We can set $\ell = R(\tau)$ by employing the coordinate system (2).) We have defined the effective energy density and pressure of the generalized dark radiation as

$$\rho_D = \frac{12M^3}{\pi^2 V}\frac{\mathcal{M}}{\ell^4}, \qquad\qquad p_D = \frac{\rho_D}{3} + \frac{8M^3}{V}\bar{p}. \tag{10}$$

For $\tilde{\rho} \ll V$ the system behaves in a remarkably simple fashion. The brane cosmological evolution is that of a four-dimensional Universe with two matter components: the matter localized on the brane and the mirage matter. There is conservation of energy between the two components.

The effective equation of state of the mirage component is $p_D = p_D(\rho_D)$. This has a simple form for $\rho = 0$, in which case we recover the pure dark radiation with $p_D = \rho_D/3$. In more general cases, the determination of the equation of state requires the full knowledge of the brane and bulk dynamics. Similarly, the rate in which brane matter is transformed into mirage matter cannot be determined by our considerations. It requires explicit input about the interaction between the brane and the bulk matter. It is also noteworthy that in the general case the equation of state $p_D = p_D(\rho_D)$ depends explicitly on the scale ℓ. This is a consequence of the explicit breaking of scale invariance by the bulk distribution, which is reflected in the theory underlying the mirage component.

2 Specific models

Let us consider now some particular examples that confirm the general picture we presented above. Our general strategy is to find an explicit solution of the Einstein equations in the bulk employing the Schwarzschild coordinates (5), and then introduce the brane as the bounday of the bulk space. This can be achieved only if there is an appropriate rate of energy exchange between the brane and the bulk. As a result, the conservation of the total energy in the brane and mirage components, as given by eq. (9), is guaranteed. In all the examples, the effective Friedmann equation includes the generalized dark radiation term, that has the form of eq. (10) with $\ell = R(\tau)$. The function $\mathcal{M}(R)$ can be determined explicitly as the integrated mass $\mathcal{M}(r)$ in the Schwarzschild frame. (An additional explicit dependence on time is possible for non-static bulk geometries, as we shall see below.)

• In the simplest example of ref. [8], the bulk is static in the Schwarzschild frame and the bulk matter distribution is very similar to that in the interior of a stellar object. The energy density has a profile $\rho(r)$ that can be determined through the solution of the Einstein equations. The integrated mass $\mathcal{M}(r)$ of this AdS-star has a non-trivial dependence on r. As a result, the generalized radiation term $\sim \mathcal{M}(R)/R^4$ does not scale as radiation.

• In ref. [10] an example of a non-static bulk is given. The bulk matter is pressureless, but has some initial outgoing velocity in the radial direction. The bulk metric is assumed to have the AdS-Tolman-Bondi form. In Schwarzschild coordinates it is given by

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + b^2(t,r)dr^2 + S^2(t,r)d\Omega_k^2, \tag{11}$$

with $b(r,t)$ given by

$$b^2(t,r) = \frac{S_{,r}^2(t,r)}{k + f(r)}, \tag{12}$$

where the subscript denotes differentiation with respect to r, and $f(r)$ is an arbitrary function. The energy-momentum tensor of the bulk matter has the form $T^A_{\ B} = \mathrm{diag}\,(-\rho(t,r),\, 0,\, 0,\, 0,\, 0)$. The bulk fluid consists of successive shells marked by r, whose local density ρ is time-dependent. The function $S(t,r)$ describes the location of the shell marked by r at the time t. Notice that $S(r,t)$ is the actual radial coordinate, while r simply marks the successive shells. Thus, eq. (11) can be put in the form (5), if we express r as $r = r(S,t)$ and redefine t in order to eliminate the term $dtdS$ in the metric. It is more convenient, however, to match the metric (11) with (2) directly, through a transformation $t = t(\tau,\eta)$, $r = r(\tau,\eta)$ [10].

The Einstein equations reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} S^2_{,t}(t,r) &= \frac{1}{6\pi^2 M^3}\frac{\mathcal{M}(r)}{S^2} - \frac{1}{12M^3}\Lambda S^2 + f(r) && (13)\\ \mathcal{M}_{,r}(r) &= 2\pi^2 S^3 \rho\, S_{,r}. && (14) \end{aligned}$$

The integrated mass $\mathcal{M}(r)$ of the bulk fluid incorporates the contributions of all shells between 0 and r. It can be obtained through the integration of eq. (14), in agreement with eq. (7) for $\ell = S$. Because of energy conservation it is independent of t, while ρ and S depend on both t and r. The function $f(r)$ determines the initial radial velocity of the bulk fluid, as can be seen from eq. (13).

It can be shown [10] that the effective Friedmann equation for the brane evolution has the form of eq. (8), with $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(r(\tau,\eta=0))$ and $\ell = a(\tau,\eta=0) = S(t(\tau,\eta=0), r(\tau,\eta=0))$. The mirage term does not scale as pure radiation, but has a complicated behaviour. The bulk pressure $\bar{p}$ perpendicularly to the brane, as measured by a brane observer, obeys $\bar{p} > 0$ [10], even though the pressure is zero for a bulk observer comoving with the fluid. This means that the equation of state of the mirage component has $p_D > \rho_D/3$.

• Another interesting case is discussed in refs. [9, 11]. The bulk metric is assumed to have the generalized AdS-Vaidya form

$$ds^2 = -n^2(u,r)\,du^2 + 2\epsilon\,du\,dr + r^2 d\Omega_k^2, \tag{15}$$

where

$$n^2(u,r) = \frac{1}{12M^3}\Lambda r^2 + k - \frac{1}{6\pi^2 M^3}\frac{\mathcal{M}(u,r)}{r^2}. \tag{16}$$

The parameter ϵ takes the values $\epsilon = \pm 1$. The energy-momentum tensor of the bulk matter that satisfies the Einstein equations is

$$\begin{aligned} T^u_{\ u} = T^r_{\ r} &= -\frac{1}{2\pi^2}\frac{\mathcal{M}_{,r}}{r^3} && (17)\\ T^1_{\ 1} = T^2_{\ 2} = T^3_{\ 3} &= -\frac{1}{6\pi^2}\frac{\mathcal{M}_{,rr}}{r^2} && (18)\\ T^u_{\ r} &= \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\frac{\mathcal{M}_{,u}}{r^3}, && (19) \end{aligned}$$

where the subscripts indicate derivatives with respect to r and u. The various energy conditions are satisfied if $\epsilon\mathcal{M}_{,u} \geq 0$, $\mathcal{M}_{,r} \geq 0$, $\mathcal{M}_{,rr} \leq 0$, $\mathcal{M}_{,r} \geq -r\mathcal{M}_{,rr}/3$.

The preferred axis of symmetry is $\sim \partial_r$, so that the average length scale function ℓ is $\ell = r$. It is then clear from eq. (17) that $\mathcal{M}$ is the integrated mass given by eq. (7). Another way to reach the same conclusion is to write the metric in Schwarzschild coordinates

$$ds^2 = -n^2(t,r)\,dt^2 + n^{-2}(t,r)\,dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_k^2, \tag{20}$$

where

$$n^2(t,r) = n^2(u(t,r),r) = \frac{1}{12M^3}\Lambda r^2 + k - \frac{1}{6\pi^2 M^3}\frac{\mathcal{M}\left(u(t,r),r\right)}{r^2} \tag{21}$$

and $\partial u/\partial t = 1$, $\partial u/\partial r = \epsilon/n^2$. The non-zero components of the energy-momentum tensor that satisfies the Einstein equations for this metric are given by the same expressions as in eqs. (17)-(19), and the integrated mass is given by eq. (7).

It is not surprising, therefore, that the brane evolution is described by eq. (8) with $\mathcal{M}(\tau,\ell) = \mathcal{M}(u(\tau,\eta=0),\ell)$. The effective pressure p_D, defined in eqs. (10), can be calculated to be

$$p_D = \frac{4M^3}{\pi^2 V}\left(\frac{\mathcal{M}}{\ell^4} - \frac{\partial\mathcal{M}/\partial\ell}{\ell^3}\right) - \frac{8M^3\epsilon}{V}\left(\dot{\tilde{\rho}} + 3H(\tilde{\rho}+\tilde{p})\right). \tag{22}$$

For $\tilde{\rho} \ll V$ the second term in the r.h.s. can be neglected. The function $\mathcal{M}(u,r)$ is arbitrary. If it is assumed to have the form $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(u)$, the bulk energy-momentum tensor corresponds to a radiation field. The resulting cosmological solution describes a brane Universe that exchanges (emits or absorbs) relativistic matter with the bulk. For example, the form of $\mathcal{M}(u)$ can be matched to the rate of production of Kaluza-Klein gravitons during the collisions in a thermal bath of brane particles [5, 11]. In this case, the effective pressure of the mirage component becomes $p_D = \rho_D/3$. The system of equations (8), (9) for $\tilde{\rho} \ll V$ describes the evolution of a four-dimensional Universe with energy exchange between the brane matter component $\tilde{\rho}$ and the dark radiation component ρ_D.

Other choices for $\mathcal{M}(u,r)$ that satisfy the energy conditions are possible as well. If one assumes $\mathcal{M}(u,r) = \mathcal{M}(u)r$, the mirage component has $p_D = 0$ for $\tilde{\rho} \ll V$. This is the equation of state of non-relativistic matter. As a result, the mirage component can be characterized as mirage cold dark matter. However, the physical interpretation of a bulk geometry with $\mathcal{M}(u,r) = \mathcal{M}(u)r$ remains an open question.

• Our final example involves a bulk scalar field in a global monopole (hedgehog) configuration [10]. The field has four components ϕ^α, $\alpha = 1,2,3,4$, and its action is invariant under a global $O(4)$ symmetry. The field configuration that corresponds to a global monopole is $\phi^\alpha = \phi(r)x^\alpha/r$. The asymptotic value of $\phi(r)$ for $r \to \infty$ is ϕ_0. The metric can be written in Schwarzschild coordinates, as in eq. (5), with $n = n(r)$, $b = b(r)$ and $k = 1$. For large r, the leading contribution of the monopole configuration to the energy-momentum tensor comes from the angular part of the kinetic term. The integrated mass can be calculated to be $\mathcal{M} = 3\pi^2\phi_0^2\ell^2/2$ for large ℓ. (The global monopole has a diverging mass in the limit $\ell \to \infty$.) As a result the effective energy density is $\rho_D = 18M^3\phi_0^2/(V\ell^2)$. In this case the mirage component scales $\sim \ell^{-2}$, similarly to the curvature term. This can be verified by calculating explicitly the effective pressure p_D, which turns out to be $p_D = -\rho_D/3$ for large ℓ.

3 The bulk-brane duality

We saw in the previous sections that, for low energy densities, the cosmological evolution on the brane is typical of a four-dimensional Universe. In addition to the matter localized on the brane, a mirage matter component appears, which we characterized as generalized dark radiation. There is conservation of energy between the two components. The nature of the mirage component depends on the bulk matter. In particular, despite its characterization as generalized dark radiation, the mirage component can have a very general equation of state. If

we define $p_D = w_D \rho_D$, there are configurations with
• $w_D > 1/3$: non-relativistic bulk matter in an AdS-Tolman-Bondi geometry;
• $w_D = 1/3$: AdS-Schwarzschild bulk geometry; AdS-Vaidya bulk with energy exchage between the brane and a radiation field in the bulk.
• $w_D = 0$: generalized AdS-Vaidya bulk;
• $w_D = -1/3$: global monopole in an AdS bulk;
• $w_D = -1$: constant field with a non-zero potential in the bulk (effective cosmological constant).

In the case of an AdS-Schwarzschild bulk the mirage component is pure radiation. Its appearance can be understood through the AdS/CFT correspondence [12, 13]. There is a duality that relates a supergravity theory, arising in the low energy limit of an appropriate compactification of a superstring theory, with a conformal field theory. In particular, the supergravity is defined on the product of a compact manifold and a five-dimensional manifold X_5 with a four-dimensional boundary M_4. The manifold X_5 asymptotically (near the boundary M_4) becomes an AdS$_5$ space. The conformal field theory is defined on M_4. It was suggested in ref. [14] that the cosmology in the Randall-Sundrum model can be understood through the AdS/CFT correspondence. The AdS bulk degrees of freedom correspond to a conformal field theory on the boundary of the bulk space. The dark radiation term is nothing but the energy density of the conformal degrees of freedom.

If there are non-zero bulk fields other than the gravitational field, the dual theory is not expected to be conformal. This is obvious if the bulk field profile introduces new energy scales, other than the fundamental Planck scale M and the cosmological constant Λ.[1] As a result, it is not surprising that the effective equation of state of the generalized dark radiation can deviate significantly from that of pure radiation. The remarkable property is that the brane evolution at low energies can be described in four-dimensional terms for any bulk content. This implies that the dual description of a bulk gravity theory is quite general at low energies.

The breaking of conformal invariance is expected to be reflected in the trace of the energy-momentum tensor of the generalized dark radiation. The conformal anomaly gives significant corrections only during the early stages of the cosmological evolution, when the energy density is large. The expected modifications have been discussed in ref. [15]. The explicit breaking of conformal invariance by the bulk matter is apparent even at low energies. According to eq. (10), the trace of the energy-momentum tensor is proportional to the pressure of the bulk fluid perpendicularly to the brane as measured by the brane observer. In cases in which the duality between a bulk theory with broken conformal invariance and a boundary theory is known, the trace can also be expressed through the expectation value of an operator of the boundary conformal theory. The cosmological evolution on the brane at low energies can be derived either through an explicit solution of the five-dimensional Einstein equations or through the study of the dual theory in a cosmological context [15].

4 Accelerated expansion in brane cosmology

An important question concerns the possibility of having accelerated expansion on the brane as a result of the brane-bulk interaction [16, 17]. More specifically, the inflow of energy from the bulk into the brane may induce an evolution similar to that in the steady state cosmology. In such a scenario, the spontaneous energy creation would be replaced by the energy inflow from

[1] For a pure AdS bulk, we can use the AdS length $L \sim (M^3/\Lambda)^{1/2}$ instead of Λ.

the extra dimension.

In the general framework we are considering, the evolution of H is determined by the Raychaudhuri equation, that takes the form

$$\dot{H} = -H^2 - \frac{1}{12M_{\rm Pl}^2}\left[(\tilde{\rho}+3\tilde{p}) + \frac{2\tilde{\rho}^2+3\tilde{\rho}\tilde{p}}{V}\right] - \frac{1}{6\pi^2 M^3}\frac{\mathcal{M}(\ell,\tau)}{\ell^4} - \frac{1}{M^3}\bar{p} + \lambda, \tag{23}$$

where $\dot{H} = H_{;\alpha}\tilde{u}^\alpha$. The acceleration parameter is proportional to $\dot{H}+H^2$. For this to be positive one or more of the following conditions must be satisfied:
a) The effective cosmological constant λ is positive.
b) The brane matter satisfies $\tilde{\rho} < V$ and $\tilde{p} < -\tilde{\rho}/3$.
c) The brane matter satisfies $\tilde{\rho} > V$ and $\tilde{p} < -2\tilde{\rho}/3$.
d) The integrated mass $\mathcal{M}$ of the bulk matter is negative.
e) The pressure $\bar{p}$ of the bulk fluid perpendicularly to the brane, as measured by the brane observer, is negative.

The first two conditions correspond to the standard ways of inducing acceleration in conventional cosmology: a cosmological constant, or a fluid with sufficiently negative pressure (dark energy). The third condition concerns the high-energy regime of brane cosmology. Negative pressure of the brane matter is again required. The last two conditions constrain the properties of the bulk matter, as they are reflected in the generalized dark radiation. It is noteworthy that the flow of energy towards or from the brane does not appear explicitly in eq. (23).

The bulk matter affects the cosmological evolution of the brane in way that is largely independent of its detailed distribution. Inhomogeneneities along the fourth spatial dimension are integrated out in the definition of the integrated mass. This mass is then averaged out over the whole bulk through the combination $\mathcal{M}/\ell^4$. As a result, the cosmological evolution is determined by the average bulk density, instead of the density in the vicinity of the brane. The possibility of a negative integrated mass seems problematic at first sight, as usually it implies the existence of naked singularities or instabilities. However, counter examples exist in the literature, such as the negative tension brane in the two-brane model of [1]. The correlation between acceleration and negative energy density of the dark radiation is consistent with the approximate cosmological solutions of ref. [16]. In particular, the fixed points with accelerated cosmological expansion found in ref. [16] exist only if the mirage energy density is negative. Our analysis shows that this assumption implies a negative integrated mass for the bulk matter.

The last possibility for accelerated expansion requires negative bulk pressure perpendicularly to the brane, as measured by a brane observer. In general, negative pressure is generated with a slowly evolving, homogeneous field with a potential. In such a scenario, the accelerated expansion (or inflation) on the brane can be induced by a bulk scalar field.

Of greater interest is the possibility that a bulk fluid with positive or zero pressure may induce accelerated expansion because of its motion towards the brane. It can be shown, however, that this is not feasible. We have assumed that the spatial part of the brane is invariant under a six-dimensional group of isometries. The most general bulk geometry in which such a brane can be embedded has a metric that can be put in the form of eq. (5). There is a bulk observer for whom the most general bulk fluid has an energy-momentum tensor of the form $T^A_B = (-\rho, p, p, p, \mathrm{p})$. Such an observer is comoving with the bulk fluid, so that the energy fluxes are zero.[2] On the

[2] The elimination of fluxes is not possible in the case of a radiation field. A separate analysis of this case through the use of the AdS-Vaidya metric in the bulk shows that accelerated expansion is not possible without negative pressure [9, 10, 11].

other hand, the pressure along the fourth spatial dimension is not constrained by the assumed symmetries to be equal to the pressure along the directions parallel to the brane. It can be easily shown that the pressure $\bar{p}$, measured by the brane observer, is given by

$$\bar{p} = \left(\frac{\partial t}{\partial \eta}\right)^2 \rho + \left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial \eta}\right)^2 \mathrm{p}, \tag{24}$$

where τ, η are coordinates in the Gauss normal frame of eq. (2), and the partial derivatives are evaluated at the location of the brane. It is clear that for $\rho > 0$, $\mathrm{p} \geq 0$ we always have $\bar{p} > 0$. As a result, accelerated cosmological expansion on the brane is possible only if negative pressure develops either on the brane or in the bulk.

Acknowledgments
One of us (P.S.A.) gratefully acknowledges the financial support of the Spanish "Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia" through research grant No SB2004-0110. This work was supported through the research program "Pythagoras II" (grant 70-03-7992) of the Greek Ministry of National Education.

References

[1] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** (1999) 3370 [arXiv:hep-th/9905221]; Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** (1999) 4690 [arXiv:hep-th/9906064].

[2] P. Binetruy, C. Deffayet and D. Langlois, Nucl. Phys. B **565** (2000) 269 [arXiv:hep-th/9905012];
P. Binetruy, C. Deffayet, U. Ellwanger and D. Langlois, Phys. Lett. B **477** (2000) 285 [arXiv:hep-th/9910219].

[3] P. Kraus, JHEP **9912** (1999) 011 [arXiv:hep-th/9910149];
P. S. Apostolopoulos and J. G. Carot, J. Phys. Conf. Series **8** (2005) 28.

[4] A. Kehagias and E. Kiritsis, JHEP **9911** (1999) 022 [arXiv:hep-th/9910174].

[5] A. Hebecker and J. March-Russell, Nucl. Phys. B **608** (2001) 375 [arXiv:hep-ph/0103214].

[6] R. Maartens, Living Rev. Rel. **7** (2004) 7 [arXiv:gr-qc/0312059].

[7] P. S. Apostolopoulos and N. Tetradis, Phys. Rev. D **71** (2005) 043506 [arXiv:hep-th/0412246].

[8] P. S. Apostolopoulos and N. Tetradis, Class. Quant. Grav. **21** (2004) 4781 [arXiv:hep-th/0404105].

[9] N. Tetradis, Class. Quant. Grav. **21** (2004) 5221 [arXiv:hep-th/0406183].

[10] P. S. Apostolopoulos, N. Brouzakis, E. N. Saridakis and N. Tetradis, Phys. Rev. D **72** (2005) 044013 [arXiv:hep-th/0502115].

[11] D. Langlois, L. Sorbo and M. Rodriguez-Martinez, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89** (2002) 171301 [arXiv:hep-th/0206146];
E. Leeper, R. Maartens and C. F. Sopuerta, Class. Quant. Grav. **21** (2004) 1125 [arXiv:gr-qc/0309080].

[12] J. M. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 231 [Int. J. Theor. Phys. **38** (1999) 1113] [arXiv:hep-th/9711200].

[13] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. B **428** (1998) 105 [arXiv:hep-th/9802109];
E. Witten, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 253 [arXiv:hep-th/9802150].

[14] S. S. Gubser, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 084017 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/9912001].

[15] E. Kiritsis, JCAP **0510** (2005) 014 [arXiv:hep-th/0504219].

[16] E. Kiritsis, G. Kofinas, N. Tetradis, T. N. Tomaras and V. Zarikas, JHEP **0302** (2003) 035 [arXiv:hep-th/0207060];
N. Tetradis, Phys. Lett. B **569** (2003) 1 [arXiv:hep-th/0211200];
E. Kiritsis, Fortsch. Phys. **52** (2004) 568 [arXiv:hep-th/0503189];
K. i. Umezu, K. Ichiki, T. Kajino, G. J. Mathews, R. Nakamura and M. Yahiro, arXiv:astro-ph/0507227.

[17] F. A. Brito, F. F. Cruz and J. F. N. Oliveira, Phys. Rev. D **71** (2005) 083516 [arXiv:hep-th/0502057];
B. McInnes, arXiv:hep-th/0511227;
Rong-Gen Cai, Yungui Gong, Bin Wang, arXiv:hep-th/0511301;
G. Kofinas, G. Panotopoulos and T. N. Tomaras, arXiv:hep-th/0510207;
R. B. Mann and J. J. Oh, arXiv:hep-th/0504172.